Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Redetermination of 3-methylisoguinoline at 150 K

Andrew D. Bond

University of Southern Denmark, Department of Physics and Chemistry, Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense, Denmark Correspondence e-mail: adb@chem.sdu.dk

Received 30 September 2010; accepted 5 October 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 150 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.042; wR factor = 0.119; data-to-parameter ratio = 18.3.

The structure of the title compound, C19H9O, has been redetermined at 150 K. The redetermination is of significantly higher precision than a previous room-temperature structure [Ribar et al. (1974). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 3, 323-325]. The C–N bond lengths for this redetermination are much closer to those observed in comparable structures, and the orientation of the methyl group with respect to the isoquinoline plane is clarified. Intermolecular weak C-H···N contacts are present in the crystal.

Related literature

For the structure at room temperature, see: Ribar et al. (1974). For the structure of the parent compound isoquinoline, see: Hensen et al. (1999). The C-N bond length in the structure of Ribar et al. (1974) clearly lies outside of the main distribution for 19 relevant structural fragments in the Cambridge Structural Database, being the second shortest bond in the sample [one shorter bond exists for refcode SAKCIQ, but this structure has R1 = 14.2% (Trumpp-Kallmeyer *et al.*, 1998)]. The corresponding C–N bond length in this redetermination lies exactly at the mean of the CSD sample.



Experimental

Crystal data

C₁₀H₉N $M_r = 143.18$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 6.1991 (4) Å b = 7.4176 (6) Å c = 16.5421 (12) Å $\beta = 93.438 (2)^{\circ}$

Data collection

Bruker-Nonius X8 APEXII CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003) $T_{\rm min}=0.826,\;T_{\rm max}=0.991$

Refinement

D-

C5-

C6

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$	101 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.119$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.06	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.24 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
1844 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.20 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $V = 759.28 (10) \text{ Å}^3$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$

9801 measured reflections

1844 independent reflections

1171 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\mu = 0.07 \text{ mm}^-$

T = 150 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.034$

Z = 4

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

·H···A	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$-H5A\cdots N2^{i}$	0.95	2.88	3.6891 (14)	144
$-H6A\cdots N2^{ii}$	0.95	2.64	3.5813 (15)	170

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}$, $z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The Danish Natural Sciences Research Council and the Carlsberg Foundation are acknowledged for provision of the X-ray equipment.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB5670).

References

Bruker (2003). SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Bruker (2004). APEX2. Bruker-Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.

Hensen, K., Mayr-Stein, R. & Bolte, M. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 1565-1567. Ribar, B., Divjakovic, V., Janic, I., Argay, G., Kalman, A. & Djuric, S. (1974).

Cryst. Struct. Commun. 3, 323-325.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Trumpp-Kallmeyer, S., Rubin, J. R., Humblet, C., Hamby, J. M. & Hollis Showalter, H. D. (1998). J. Med. Chem. 41, 1752-1763.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, o2768 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810039838]

Redetermination of 3-methylisoquinoline at 150 K

A. D. Bond

Comment

The structure of 3-iso-methylquinoline at room temperature has been reported by Ribar *et al.* (1974). This redetermination at 150 K provides significantly improved precision, and more regular positions for the H atoms.

Considering the Cl1—N2 bond length: the CCDC *Mogul* package identifies 19 relevant structural fragments in the CSD, with a mean bond length of 1.314 (10) Å. The structure of Ribar *et al.* [C—N = 1.300 (5) Å] lies clearly outside of the main distribution, being the second shortest bond in the sample (one shorter bond of 1.292 Å exists for refcode SAKCIQ, but this structure has R1 = 14.2% (Trumpp-Kallmeyer *et al.*, 1998). By contrast, the C1—N2 bond length of 1.3144 (13) Å in this redetermination corresponds exactly with the mean value. Alternation is also more clearly seen for the bond lengths C5—C6, C6—C7 and C7—C8 (1.3649 (16), 1.4093 (16) and 1.3646 (15) Å, respectively), compared to the previous structure.

Concerning the H atoms, the orientation of the methyl group in particular is clarified: in the structure of Ribar *et al.*, the H—C(methyl)—H angles are irregular (range 94.8–112.8 °) and the orientation of the group is such that one C—H bond is twisted from the isoquinoline plane with a C—C—C(methyl)—H torsion angle *ca* 22 °. In the redetermination, the refined orientation of the methyl group places one C—H bond much more clearly in the isoquinoline plane (torsion angle 5.8 (1) °). This also has an influence on the geometry observed for the intermolecular contact between the methyl group and a neighbouring isoquinoline molecule. In the redetermination, atom H11B lies over the centroid of the C5—C10 ring with H11B···Cg = 2.95 Å and C11—H11B···Cg = 131.9 Å.

Experimental

The colourless block of (I) used for structure determination was taken directly from the sample as supplied by Aldrich Chemical Company.

Refinement

H atoms bound to $C(sp^2)$ were positioned geometrically with C—H = 0.95 Å and refined as riding with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{eq}(C)$. The H atoms of the methyl group were positioned with C—H = 0.98 Å and refined as riding with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5 U_{eq}(C)$, and with rotation about the local 3-fold axis.

Figures



Fig. 1. Molecular structure showing displacement ellipsoids at 50% probability for non-H atoms.



Fig. 2. Unit-cell contents.

F(000) = 304

 $\theta = 2.5-25.4^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150 KBlock, colourless $0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.253 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$

Melting point = 336–338 K Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, λ = 0.71073 Å Cell parameters from 1780 reflections

3-methylisoquinoline

Crystal data
C10H9N
$M_r = 143.18$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc
<i>a</i> = 6.1991 (4) Å
<i>b</i> = 7.4176 (6) Å
c = 16.5421 (12) Å
$\beta = 93.438 \ (2)^{\circ}$
$V = 759.28 (10) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4

Data collection

1844 independent reflections
1171 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$R_{\rm int} = 0.034$
$\theta_{\text{max}} = 28.4^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.7^{\circ}$
$h = -7 \rightarrow 8$
$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
$l = -21 \rightarrow 20$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$ $wR(F^2) = 0.119$ S = 1.061844 reflections 101 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0637P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.24$ e Å⁻³

 $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.20 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
C1	0.11212 (16)	0.91552 (15)	0.31390 (6)	0.0232 (3)
H1A	-0.0145	0.9742	0.3301	0.028*
N2	0.26422 (14)	0.87917 (12)	0.37033 (5)	0.0247 (3)
C3	0.44782 (16)	0.79419 (15)	0.34720 (7)	0.0234 (3)
C4	0.47647 (16)	0.75059 (15)	0.26814 (7)	0.0232 (3)
H4A	0.6070	0.6944	0.2544	0.028*
C5	0.32884 (18)	0.74255 (15)	0.12395 (7)	0.0257 (3)
H5A	0.4564	0.6873	0.1066	0.031*
C6	0.16084 (19)	0.77766 (16)	0.06908 (7)	0.0294 (3)
H6A	0.1709	0.7434	0.0141	0.035*
C7	-0.02738 (18)	0.86413 (16)	0.09309 (7)	0.0289 (3)
H7A	-0.1420	0.8894	0.0540	0.035*
C8	-0.04638 (16)	0.91186 (15)	0.17202 (7)	0.0247 (3)
H8A	-0.1734	0.9708	0.1877	0.030*
C9	0.12341 (16)	0.87349 (14)	0.23056 (6)	0.0205 (3)
C10	0.31404 (16)	0.78813 (14)	0.20670 (7)	0.0209 (3)
C11	0.61079 (18)	0.74985 (17)	0.41473 (7)	0.0317 (3)
H11A	0.6495	0.8598	0.4451	0.048*
H11B	0.7403	0.6991	0.3923	0.048*
H11C	0.5493	0.6617	0.4510	0.048*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0222 (6)	0.0219 (7)	0.0259 (6)	0.0014 (5)	0.0044 (5)	0.0006 (5)
N2	0.0262 (5)	0.0249 (6)	0.0232 (5)	0.0014 (4)	0.0022 (4)	0.0010 (4)
C3	0.0235 (6)	0.0196 (7)	0.0271 (7)	-0.0011 (4)	0.0003 (5)	0.0040 (5)
C4	0.0198 (5)	0.0212 (6)	0.0289 (7)	0.0011 (4)	0.0050 (5)	0.0025 (5)
C5	0.0300 (6)	0.0221 (6)	0.0258 (7)	0.0000 (5)	0.0089 (5)	-0.0002 (5)
C6	0.0402 (7)	0.0277 (7)	0.0206 (6)	-0.0059 (5)	0.0043 (5)	0.0007 (5)
C7	0.0287 (6)	0.0299 (7)	0.0274 (7)	-0.0049 (5)	-0.0050 (5)	0.0048 (5)
C8	0.0216 (6)	0.0233 (7)	0.0290 (7)	-0.0006 (4)	0.0001 (5)	0.0028 (5)

supplementary materials

C9	0.0211 (5)	0.0172 (6)	0.0233 (6	5)	-0.0019 (4)	0.0028 (4)	0.00)21 (5)
C10	0.0223 (6)	0.0173 (6)	0.0235 (6))	-0.0028 (4)	0.0042 (4)	0.00)16 (5)
C11	0.0303 (6)	0.0335 (8)	0.0307 (7	7)	0.0015 (5)	-0.0040 (5)) 0.00)53 (6)
Geometric paran	neters (Å, °)							
C1N2		1 3144 (13)		C6-C7			1 /003 (16)	
C1 - C9		1.4194 (15)		С6—Н6/	A		0.950	
C1—H1A		0.950		C7 - C8	1		1 3646 (15)	
N2-C3		1 3753 (13)		С7—Н7/	4		0.950	
C_{3} C_{4}		1.3690 (15)		C8-C9	1		1 4157 (14)	
C3-C11		1.4971 (15)		C8—H8/	4		0.950	
C4-C10		1.1971(15) 1.4148(15)		C9-C10)		1 4174 (15)	
C4—H4A		0.950		C11—H1) 1A		0.980	
C5—C6		1 3649 (16)		C11—H1	1 B		0.980	
C5-C10		1 4184 (16)		C11—H1	10		0.980	
C5—H5A		0.950		011 111			0.900	
N2_C1_C9		124 73 (10)		C8C7-	Н7А		110 7	
N2-C1-H1A		117.6		C6C7-	H7A		119.7	
$R_2 = C_1 = H_1 A$		117.6		C_{7}			110.03 (10)	
$C_1 = N_2 = C_3$		117.83 (9)		C7 - C8	_H8A		120.0	
C1 - N2 - C3 C4 - C3 - N2		117.03(0)		C_{0}^{-}			120.0	
C4 - C3 - C11		122.08(10) 122.72(10)		C8-C9-			119.83 (10)	
N2_C3_C11		122.72(10) 115.20(10)		C8-C9-	-C1		122 84 (10)	
C_{3} C_{4} C_{10}		120.81(10)		C10-C9)—C1		117 33 (10)	
C3-C4-H4A		119.6		C4-C10)		117 21 (10)	
C10—C4—H4A		119.6		C4-C10)		124 19 (10)	
C6-C5-C10		120.36 (10)		C9-C10)		118 59 (10)	
С6—С5—Н5А		119.8		C3-C11	—H11A		109 5	
C10—C5—H5A		119.8		C3-C11	—H11B		109.5	
C5-C6-C7		120.73 (11)		H11A-0	C11—H11B		109.5	
С5—С6—Н6А		119.6		C3—C11	—H11C		109.5	
С7—С6—Н6А		119.6		H11A—0	С11—Н11С		109.5	
C8—C7—C6		120.54 (11)		H11B—0	С11—Н11С		109.5	
C9_C1_N2_C	3	-0.04(17)		N2			178 66 (10)	
$C_{1} = N_{2} = C_{3} = C_{4}$	4	1 19 (16)		N2_C1-	-C9-C10		-0.81(17)	
C1 = N2 = C3 = C	- 11	-17761(9)		C_{3} C_{4}			0.51(17)	
N2-C3-C4-C	10	-1.46(17)		C_{3} C_{4}	-C10-C5		$-178\ 11\ (10)$	n
$C_{11} = C_{3} = C_{4} = C_{4}$	10 710	177 24 (10)		C8-C9-	-C10-C4		-178 97 (9)	,)
C10-C5-C6-C	77	1 80 (17)		C1-C9-	-C10-C4		0.51 (15)	·
$C_{5} - C_{6} - C_{7} - C_{8}$	<u></u> Я	-1.03(18)		C8-C9-	-C10-C5		-0.23(16)	
C6-C7-C8-C9)	-0.38(17)		C1-C9-	-C10-C5		179 26 (9)	
C7 - C8 - C9 - C	10	0.99 (16)		C6-C5-	-C10-C4		177 49 (10)	
C7—C8—C9—C	1	-178.46 (10)		C6—C5-			-1.16 (16)	
							. /	
Hydrogen-bond g	geometry (Å, °)							
D—H···A		1	D—H	H·	··A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —]	H···A
C5—H5A…N2 ⁱ		().95	2.8	88	3.6891 (14)	144	

C6—H6A···N2 ⁱⁱ	0.95	2.64	3.5813 (15)	170
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1$, $y-1/2$, $-z+1/2$; (ii) x,	-y+3/2, z-1/2.			

Fig. 1





